IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

ESSENCE JACKSON,)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:22-00478-TFM-N
)
SHELL CHEMICAL LP,)
Defendant.)

RULE 16(b) SCHEDULING ORDER

After considering the parties' report of their planning meeting (Doc. 45) held pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and the Court's preliminary scheduling order, and holding a telephonic scheduling conference with the parties, the Court enters the following scheduling order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) and S.D. Ala. CivLR 16(a)(2) to govern this civil action:¹

1. NOTICE REGARDING SUPPLEMENTATION.

a. <u>Electronically Stored Information (ESI)</u>. ² Without proper advance planning, ESI can needlessly increase the cost of litigation and impose avoidable hardships on the parties and the Court. To the extent ESI will be, or becomes, a significant issue in this case, the parties should consider filing a supplement to their planning report or a joint proposed order which more specifically

¹ The assigned District Judge has referred the parties' planning report to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for entry of this Rule 16(b) scheduling order. See S.D. Ala. GenLR 72(a)(2)(S) & (b); (12/1/2023 electronic reference notification).

² See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(b)(2)(D)-(E).

addresses (1) the scope of ESI to be preserved (including the identification of key custodians, the imposition of temporal limitations, and the reasonable accessibility of sources—i.e., whether any sources are unduly burdensome or cost prohibitive); (2) the design of effective search methodology and how best to efficiently conduct such searches; and (3) other ESI discovery issues contemplated under S.D. Ala. CivLR 26(a). Parties requesting ESI discovery and parties responding to such requests are, moreover, expected to cooperate in the development of procedures to achieve *proportionality* in ESI discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B)-(C).

b. <u>Protective Order</u>. The parties should consider the usefulness of presenting to the Court an agreed protective order to safeguard the confidentiality of documents exchanged during discovery, and are further encouraged to both consider Fed. R. Evid. 502 generally and whether an agreement on the effect of disclosure under that rule should be incorporated into any agreed protective order.

The parties are directed to closely review § 12, *infra*, which sets forth procedures with regard to settlement and alternative dispute resolution.

2. <u>FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE & PRETRIAL DISCLOSURES.</u>
This action is set for a final pretrial conference under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(e) and S.D. Ala. CivLR 16(b) before United States District Judge Terry F. Moorer in <u>Courtroom 3B</u> of the United States District Courthouse at 155 St. Joseph Street, Mobile, Alabama 36602, on <u>FEBRUARY 14, 2025</u>, beginning at <u>10:00 A.M. (Central)</u>. <u>This is a firm setting and the parties are expected to be ready for trial on that date.</u> A COPY OF JUDGE MOORER'S SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS FOR

FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCES IS ATTACHED. NO ADDITIONAL NOTICE REGARDING THE FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE WILL BE GIVEN.

The disclosure of information regarding the evidence that each party may present at trial as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) must be made no later than 21 days prior to the date of the final pretrial conference.

- 3. TRIAL. This action is set for a jury trial at the United States District Courthouse at 155 St. Joseph Street, Mobile, Alabama 36602, during the month of MARCH 2025,³ the specific date(s) to be set at the final pretrial conference, see § 2, supra. Jury selection is currently set for MARCH 11, 2025, beginning at 8:30 A.M. (Central).
- 4. <u>DISCOVERY COMPLETION</u>. All discovery must be completed no later than <u>AUGUST 1, 2024</u>. Requests for extension will be viewed with great disfavor and will not be considered except upon a showing (1) that extraordinary circumstances require it and (2) that the parties have diligently pursued discovery.

For all civil actions, "completed" means that all interrogatories, requests for admission, requests for production, requests for entry onto property, and subpoenas have been served and responded to; physical inspections and testing concluded; physical and mental examinations concluded; experts' reports exchanged; all depositions, including experts' depositions and depositions to preserve testimony for

³ Judge Moorer's Mobile civil trial months are March, June, September, and December; his final pretrial conferences are generally set the second and third Fridays of the month prior to the month of trial.

trial, taken; and motions to compel timely filed and served to give adequate time for the Court to consider them. *See also* S.D. Ala. CivLR 26(c); § 10, *infra*.

- 5. <u>INITIAL DISCLOSURES</u>. Initial disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) must be exchanged no later than <u>DECEMBER 21, 2023</u>, unless the parties agree to a later date that does not conflict with the deadline for discovery completion, see § 4, supra.
- 6. AMENDMENTS TO PLEADINGS AND JOINDER OF PARTIES. Any motion to amend the pleadings under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2) or to join other parties must be filed and served no later than FEBRUARY 2, 2024. The procedures for amending pleadings under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) and S.D. Ala. CivLR 15 will be strictly enforced. Any party added to this action subsequent to the issuance of this order shall be subject to its provisions, including any amendments, unless otherwise ordered or unless the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Local Rules allow otherwise.⁴
- 7. <u>EXPERT TESTIMONY</u>. The disclosure of expert testimony as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) and S.D. Ala. CivLR 26(b)(1) must be made (1) by the plaintiff(s) on or before <u>MARCH 1, 2024</u>, <u>except that</u> expert testimony intended solely to contradict or rebut, as contemplated by Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii), must be disclosed by the plaintiff(s) on or before <u>APRIL 29, 2024</u>; and (2) by the defendant(s) on or before <u>APRIL 1, 2024</u>, <u>including</u> expert testimony intended solely to contradict or rebut, as

⁴ See, e.g., Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(D) ("A party that is first served or otherwise joined after the Rule 26(f) conference must make the initial disclosures within 30 days after being served or joined, unless a different time is set by stipulation or by court order").

contemplated by Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii). An expert's deposition, if taken, must be noticed and completed within <u>30 days</u> of the date on which the expert's report is disclosed. All challenges to expert witnesses, including *Daubert* motions, are to be filed as soon as possible but in no event later than <u>AUGUST 30, 2024.</u>⁵

- 8. <u>DISCOVERY SUPPLEMENTATION</u>. Supplementation of disclosures and responses as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) must be accomplished "at appropriate intervals" and "seasonably."
- 9. <u>DISCOVERY LIMITS</u>. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(A), discovery is limited as follows (**NOTE:** in applying these limits, parties represented by the same counsel will be treated as a single "party"):
- a. Not more than <u>35</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 33 interrogatories, including all discrete subparts, may be served by each party upon any other party. Responses are due within <u>30 days</u> of service;
- b. Not more than <u>10</u> depositions may be taken by the plaintiff(s), and not more than <u>10</u> depositions may be taken by the defendant(s). Each deposition is limited in duration to <u>one day of 7 hours, excluding breaks and lunch</u>. A deposition under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) must be noticed no less than 20 business days prior to the date of the deposition. For party Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, the party entity to be deposed shall object and/or designate in writing its witness representatives, by paragraph or subject matter, no less than 10 business days prior

⁵ A *Daubert* hearing will not be set as a matter of course, but will instead be set at the Court's discretion in the event any *Daubert* motions are filed.

to the date of the deposition;

- c. Not more than <u>30</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 36 requests for admissions, including all discrete subparts, may be served by each party upon any other party. Responses are due within <u>30</u> days of service;
- d. Not more than <u>35</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 requests for production of documents, including all discrete subparts, may be served by each party upon any other party. Responses are due within <u>30</u> days of service. Subpoenas duces tecum to a party ordering such party to produce documents or things at trial shall not be used to circumvent the limitations placed on discovery.
- e. Prior to issuing any subpoena under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 to a non-party, the issuing party shall provide a draft copy of the subpoena to all other parties at least 5 business days prior to sending the subpoena. If objections are raised to the subpoena, the parties shall confer prior to the issuing party serving the subpoena and/or the non-issuing party filing a motion challenging the subpoena. Any party receiving documents and/or other material pursuant to a subpoena shall produce it to the other parties within 14 days of its receipt, but to the extent the production is close in time to a deposition where the documents or material may be discussed, then the production shall occur no later than 5 business days prior to the deposition.
- f. Subject to the deadline for discovery completion, *see* § 4, *supra*, any of the foregoing discovery limitations in this § 9 may be enlarged or extended by agreement of the parties.
 - g. As required by S.D. Ala. CivLR 5(b), whenever any discovery

material is served, counsel shall contemporaneously file a notice identifying the date of service and the nature of the material.

- 10. <u>DISCOVERY MOTIONS</u>. The following requirements pertain to discovery motions filed in this Court:
- Conferencing by Counsel. The conferencing requirements set a. forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be strictly enforced, and govern any motion by a party to obtain either court-facilitated discovery or protection from another party's attempts to obtain information through discovery, and any motion for extension of time on discovery related issues. This requirement applies to all such discovery-related motions, including but not limited to, those referred to in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) (motion for a protective order); 35(a) (motion for physical and/or mental examination); 36(a)(6) (motion to determine sufficiency of admissions); 37(a) (motion to compel disclosure or discovery); 37(d) (failure to attend own deposition, respond to interrogatories or requests for production); and 45(d) (protection of persons subject to subpoena). In order to comply with the conferencing requirement, a moving party must include more than a cursory statement that counsel have been unable to resolve the matter. Counsel seeking court-facilitated discovery, instead, must adequately set forth in the motion facts sufficient to enable the Court to determine whether there has been good faith conferencing between the parties. Any such motion not containing the required certification will be stricken or denied.⁶

⁶ See generally Robinson v. Napolitano, No. CIV. 08-4084, 2009 WL 1586959 (D.S.D. June 4, 2009) (denying the government's motion to compel discovery "without prejudice to the government's ability to renew such motion after satisfying the

b. <u>Time of Filing; Form.</u> A motion for protective order pursuant to

Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), a motion for physical and/or mental examination pursuant to

condition precedent of conferring with Mr. Robinson in a **good faith** effort to resolve the outstanding discovery disputes between the parties") (emphasis in original); see also id. at *3-4 (collecting cases that "put 'flesh on the bone' of the meet-and-confer requirement"—"conferment" [per Rule 37] requires the parties 'to have had an actual meeting or conference" (quoting Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Progressive Gams, Inc., 170 F.R.D. 166, 170-171 (D. Nev. 1996)); "counsel calling opposing counsel and leaving a vague message two hours before filing the discovery motion does not satisfy the meetand-confer requirement" (citing Alexander v. FBI, 186 F.R.D. 197, 198-199 (D.D.C. 1999)); "the meet-and-confer requirement [is not] satisfied by the sending of a letter that indicated that a motion to compel would be filed if the opposing party did not comply with discovery requests" (citing Bolger v. District of Columbia, 248 F.R.D. 339, 343-344 (D.D.C. 2008)); "sending a letter discussing the discovery issue did not satisfy the meet-and-confer requirement or the pre-requisite of a certification of having conferred in good faith" (citing Ross v. Citifinancial, Inc., 203 F.R.D. 239, 239-40 (S.D. Miss. 2001)); "the meet-and-confer requirement is intended to require counsel to 'converse, confer, compare views, consult and deliberate" (quoting Cotracom Commodity Trading Co. v. Seaboard Corp., 189 F.R.D. 456, 459 (D. Kan. 1999) (emphasis added))); Gann v. N.-Cent. Ala. Reg'l Council of Gov'ts, No. CV-13-S-270-NE, 2013 WL 6190799, at *4 (N.D. Ala. Nov. 26, 2013) ("[B]efore asking a court to compel discovery, or to impose sanctions upon an opposing party for allegedly failing to engage in discovery, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(1) clearly states that the moving party must, at a minimum, either have an actual face-to-face meeting, or engage in a two-way conversation, with the opposing party during which the discovery disputes are meaningfully discussed in an honest, good-faith attempt to resolve the disputes." (citing Shuffle Master, 170 F.R.D. at 172)).

Further, as the court in *Shuffle Master* observed, and this Court adopts, any good faith certification filed "<u>must</u> accurately and specifically convey to the court **who, where, how, and when** the respective parties attempted to personally resolve the discovery dispute." 170 F.R.D. at 170 (emphasis added). *See also* S.D. Ala. CivLR 37 ("All motions to compel disclosure or discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26-37 must be accompanied by a written certification by the movant that, after the movant in good faith has conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make disclosure or discovery in an effort to obtain it without Court action, the parties are unable to reach an accord. The statement must recite the date and time of the conference or conferences and the names of all parties participating in the conference or conferences."). **Motions with certifications lacking this level of detail will be denied.**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 35(a), a motion to determine sufficiency pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(6), a motion to compel pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37, and any similar nondispositive motion shall be brought in a timely manner so as to allow sufficient time for the completion of discovery according to the schedule set by the Court, and, again, shall only be filed after all of the above-mentioned conferencing has occurred, see § 10(a), supra, unless exceptional or exigent circumstances exist. In no event shall such a motion be filed after the close of discovery unless good cause is shown under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Any such motion shall quote in full (1) each interrogatory, request for admission or request for production to which the motion is addressed, or otherwise identify specifically and succinctly the discovery to which objection is taken or from which a protective order is sought, and (2) the response or the objection and grounds therefor, if any, as stated by the opposing party. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the complete transcripts or discovery papers are not to be filed with the Court unless the motion cannot be fairly decided without reference to the complete original.

- c. <u>Time for Responses</u>. Unless within 14 days after the filing of a discovery motion the opposing party files a written response thereto, the opportunity to respond shall be deemed waived and the Court will act on the motion. Every party filing a response shall file with the response a memorandum of law, including citations of supporting authorities and any affidavits and other documents setting forth or evidencing facts on which their response is based.
 - d. <u>Privilege or Protection of Trial Preparation Materials</u>. The

provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) will be strictly enforced in those rare situations in which privilege or work product protection is invoked. Rule 26(b)(5) information shall be disclosed in a "privilege log" served with the objections to production. The "privilege log" shall, at a minimum, contain the facts suggested in section N (pages 9-11) of the Introduction to Civil Discovery Practice in the Southern District of Alabama, Civil Discovery Subcommittee (2019), and the information for privilege logs agreed to by the parties in section 4(d)(iv) of the planning report (Doc. 46, PageID.226-227). The parties agreed procedures for resolving post-production claims of privilege or protected trial preparation material set out in section 12 of the planning report (Doc. 46, PageID.231), are adopted and incorporated into this order by reference, to the extent they are consistent with the provisions of Rule 26(b)(5).

other dispositive motions, especially those that require little or no discovery, are to be filed as soon as possible but in no event later than AUGUST 30, 2024. Neither the final pretrial conference nor the trial of this action will be delayed pending a ruling on such motions. Motions for summary judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 must comply with S.D. Ala. CivLR 56, and all motions must comply with S.D. Ala. CivLR 7. See also § 13, infra. Additionally, in submitting exhibits, the parties are reminded of S.D. Ala. CivLR 5(a), which provides that only relevant portions of deposition transcripts or other discovery materials shall be filed in support of or in opposition to a motion. Evidentiary submissions that do not comport with these requirements may

⁷ Available at: https://www.alsd.uscourts.gov/sites/alsd/files/Discovery Practice.pdf

be disregarded.

- 12. <u>SETTLEMENT/ADR</u>. No later than <u>AUGUST 8, 2024</u>, the parties shall confer, in <u>good faith</u>, for the purpose of discussing settlement and shall file with the Clerk of Court a joint statement setting out the present status of settlement negotiations (without disclosing figures) and whether mediation or a settlement conference would be helpful. Moreover, the parties may contact the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge <u>at any stage of the proceedings</u> if they believe mediation or a settlement conference would be beneficial. Given that most actions settle, early settlement negotiations are strongly encouraged. A copy of the Court's Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan is available on the Court's website.⁸
- 13. <u>BRIEFS; LETTERS; COURTESY AND DUPLICATE COPIES;</u>

 <u>FAXING OF DOCUMENTS</u>. Unless prior permission of the Court is given:
- a. A brief filed in support of or in opposition to any motion shall not exceed 30 pages in length, and a reply brief by the movant(s) shall not exceed 15 pages in length. Any caption, cover page, table of contents, table of authorities, and signature block do not count toward the page limitation. See S.D. Ala. CivLR 7(e).
- b. An application for Court action shall be by motion, not by letter or other informal means. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(b); S.D. Ala. GenLR 7.
- c. Except as otherwise provided by Local Rule, courtesy copies of pleadings, motions or other papers filed in the action are not to be provided to the

⁸ See United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama Order Adopting Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan, dated Feb. 8, 1995, available at: www.alsd.uscourts.gov/sites/alsd/files/ADRplan.PDF

Judge or the Judge's Chambers. A copy of a pleading, motion or other paper that has been previously filed in the action is not to be attached to a subsequently-filed pleading, motion or other paper; it may be adopted by reference. Under S.D. Ala. CivLR 7(g), if a party's exhibits in support of or in opposition to a motion exceed 50 pages in the aggregate, then that party must deliver a courtesy hard copy of those exhibits to Chambers by mail or hand delivery. The courtesy copy must be printed from the case docket after electronic filing so that the CM/ECF PDF headers, which contain the case number, docket number, and page number, appear at the top of every page. Additionally, where exhibits are numerous, it is helpful to the Court to receive well-organized, tabbed binders of double-sided copies, along with an index or table of contents.

- d. Papers transmitted to the Court by facsimile will not be accepted for filing. A copy of this Court's policy regarding the faxing of documents may be obtained from the Clerk of Court.
- 14. MODIFICATION OF RULE 16 ORDERS. All parties are reminded that this scheduling order shall not be modified except upon a showing of good cause and by leave of Court. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Establishing good cause generally requires a showing that the applicable deadline could not be met despite the diligence of the party seeking modification of the schedule; that is, a finding that the moving party lacked due diligence usually ends the inquiry into good cause. See, e.g., Sosa v. Airprint Sys., Inc., 133 F.3d 1417, 1418 (11th Cir. 1998) (per curiam). An order entered after the final pretrial conference shall be modified only to prevent manifest

injustice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(e).

15. <u>LOCAL RULES & STANDING ORDERS</u>. All parties are expected to

abide by all applicable Local Rules and Standing Orders of this district, which contain

important requirements concerning the commencement of discovery, motions to

dismiss and for summary judgment, and other matters. Current versions may be

obtained from the Clerk of Court or downloaded from the Court's website

(http://www.alsd.uscourts.gov/court-info/local-rules-and-orders).

16. <u>SANCTIONS</u>. The unjustified failure of a party or a party's attorney to

timely comply with the requirements of this scheduling order shall be deemed a

failure to obey the scheduling order and shall subject said party or party's attorney

to one or more of the sanctions authorized by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(f).

17. To the extent a provision of this order, or the assigned district judge's

standing pretrial conference order attached, differs from one agreed to by the parties

in their planning report (Doc. 46), the order controls.

DONE and ORDERED this the 13th day of December 2023.

/s/ Katherine P. Nelson

KATHERINE P. NELSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE